The population of binary stars in starforming regions and in the Galactic field:

why are they different ?

Binary and Multiple Stars in the Era of Big Sky Surveys Kopal 2024

Litomysl, Czech Rep.

Sept. 9-13, 2024

#### Pavel Kroupa

Astronomical Institute, Charles University in Prague

Helmholtz-Institute for Radiation und Nuclear Physics (HISKP) University of Bonn

> c/o Argelander-Institut für Astronomie University<sub>l</sub> of Bonn

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn University, Prague









Imagine we want to predict the stellar and binary-star population in a dwarf irregular galaxy vs a massive E galaxy.

Simulations of star formation: impossible on galaxy scale

Instead, seed the galaxy with the units of star formation, embedded clusters (some of which evolve into star clusters)

and use known dynamics and astrophysical laws that act on *initial distribution functions (DFs, f)* (IMF, initial binary DFs).

But what are these *initial distribution functions* ?

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities

But what are these initial distribution functions?

5

1) The initial distribution of stellar masses = the *initial mass function* of stars (the *IMF*) =  $\xi(m)$ 2) The initial distribution of binary-star orbital periods = the initial semi-major axis / binding energy distribution =  $f_{\rm p}(P:m_{\rm prim})$ 3) The initial distribution of binary-star mass ratios = the *initial mass-ratio distribution* =  $f_{\rm q}(q:m_{\rm prim})$ 4) The initial distribution of binary-star orbital eccentricities = the *initial eccentricity distribution* =  $f_{\rm e}(e:m_{\rm prim})$ Constraint:  $m_{\rm sec}$  and  $m_{\rm prim}$  must obey the IMF (see Oh, Kroupa & Pflamm-Altenburg 2015 Oh & Kroupa 2016)

No existing (successful) theoretical prediction on any of the above.

Need star counts to constrain the above.

But need to understand the *evolution* of the above, to transform the observed distribution functions to the initial ones.



#### Binary systems (< few Msun)



Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities

**Binary** systems



#### **Binary** systems



П

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities

## **Problem :**

## Why the difference ?

#### **Hypothesis :**

Stars form as binaries in embedded clusters. The binaries are dynamically processed to yield the field population.

#### Aim :

find the initial binary-star distribution functions and embedded cluster solutions  $f_{\rm P}(P:m_{\rm prim})$  $f_{\rm q}(q:m_{\rm prim})$  $f_{\rm e}(e:m_{\rm prim})$ 

**Question :** 

does the above work?

13

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities

#### The initial distribution functions (Kroupa 1995a,b)

$\xi(m)$	initial distribution function of stellar masses	$\checkmark$
$f_{\rm P}(P:m_{\rm prim})$	initial distribution function of periods or binding energies	?
$f_{\rm q}(q:m_{\rm prim})$	initial distribution function of mass ratios	?
$f_{\rm e}(e:m_{\rm prim})$	initial distribution function of eccentricities	?

#### **Procedure :**



(i) the pre-main sequence data and(ii) the Galactic field data (solar neighbourhood)



15



Fig. 8.— Maps of the nearest neighbor surface density in the Orion A and B molecular clouds. We have used the 10th nearest neighbor and have corrected the densities for incompleteness. The blue contour gives the outline of the IRAC field. The inverted gray scale images renders the densities with a logarithmic scaling. The red contours are for 1, 10 and 100 YSOs  $pc^{-2}$ . The adopted distance is 414 pc.

### **Procedure :**



17

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities







21





23



#### The birth period / energy -distribution function for low-density (isolated) star formation



## The final mass-ratio distribution for late-type dwarfs



# Summary: the "standard/canonical model" (Kroupa 1995a, 1995b; Belloni et al. 2017)

For stars in the mass range  $0.1 < m/M_{\odot} < \text{few}$ 

the canonical birth binary population (BBP) can be described by

$$f_{P,\text{birth}} = 2.5 \, \frac{lP - 1}{45 + (lP - 1)^2}$$

 $lP_{\rm max} = 8.43$ 

$$f_q(q) =$$
 random pairing  
 $f_e(e) =$  thermal !

with

with adjustments (pre-main-sequence eigenevolution) for  $P < 10^3 \,\mathrm{d}$  systems

This unifies the populations: The very young population is dynamically processed in their birth embedded clusters before these disperse. 27











### forbidden binaries

In a cluster, binaries get knocked about in the ecc--period diagram. They may end up in the *forbidden region*.

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities





Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities

Initial distribution function: differ from less massive stars (work in progress)

# EXTREME VIOLENCE EXTREMELY QUICKLY, RAPID DYNAMICAL PROCESSING





P. Kroupa: University of Bonn / Charles University









## **Dynamical population synthesis :**





#### **Distribution** functions

(Kroupa 1995a,b Belloni et al. 2017)

$f_m(m) = IMF$	initial distribution function of stellar masses	$\checkmark$
$f_{ m P}(P)$	initial distribution function of periods or binding energies	$\checkmark$
$f_{ m q}(q)$	initial distribution function of mass ratios	$\checkmark$
$f_{ m e}(e)$	initial distribution function of eccentricities	$\checkmark$

#### 45

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities

We have a consistent description of the stellar binary population

- -- in star-forming regions,
- -- in the Milky Way field,
- -- in galaxies.

All *stars* form in binaries with well-defined *IMF*, *birth period* and *birth eccentricity distributions* (Kroupa 1995; Marks & Kroupa 2011; Belloni et al. 2017) and for primaries < 5 M<sub>Sun</sub> *companion masses taken randomly from the canonical IMF*.

Distribution functions differ for massive stars (work in progress: Franta Dinnbier) and brown dwarfs (Marks et al. 2015, 2017) The many stellar-dynamical encounters in the birth embedded clusters



emergence of many exotic stellar systems (magnetic A stars, A[e] stars, cataclysmic variables, X-ray binaries, Cepheids with wrong ages) **Research in Prague:** Dana Korcakova, Nela Dvorakova

Dvorakova, Korcakova, Dinnbier & Kroupa (2024, in press)

26 - 30 % of all O-type stars are mergers.13 - 24 % of all B-type stars are mergers.5 - 8 % of all B-type stars are mergers.

Dinnbier, Andersen & Kroupa (2024, in press)

40 % of all Cepheids are mergers.
10 % of all Cepheid binaries have exchanged companions
3 - 5 % of all Cepheids have a compact companion *cf.* to Paulina Karczmarek's talk on Tuesday

47

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities



Movie 2

The many stellar-dynamical encounters in the birth embedded clusters



emergence of many exotic stellar systems (magnetic A stars, A[e] stars, cataclysmic variables, X-ray binaries, Cepheids with wrong ages) **Research in Prague:** Dana Korcakova, Nela Dvorakova

Dvorakova, Korcakova, Dinnbier & Kroupa (2024, in press)

26 - 30 % of all O-type stars are mergers.13 - 24 % of all B-type stars are mergers.5 - 8 % of all A-type stars are mergers.

Dinnbier, Andersen & Kroupa (2024, in press)

40 % of all Cepheids are mergers.
10 % of all Cepheid binaries have exchanged companions
3 - 5 % of all Cepheids have a compact companion *cf.* to Paulina Karczmarek's talk on Tuesday

49

Pavel Kroupa: Charles & Bonn Universities

# The END