A novel q-PED method: precise physical properties of a merger-origin binary Cepheid OGLE-LMC-CEP-1347

> Felipe Espinoza Arancibia Supervisor: Bogumił Pilecki



- $\succ \sim 3 13 M_{\odot}$
- Located in the classical instability strip (IS)
- > Pulsations are excited by the  $\kappa$  -  $\gamma$  mechanism







 $\succ \sim 3 - 13 M_{\odot}$ 

- Located in the classical instability strip (IS)
- > Pulsations are excited by the  $\kappa$   $\gamma$  mechanism
- ➢ In 1912, Henrietta Leavitt discovered that variables with longer periods had brighter magnitudes.

$$M = \alpha \log P + \delta + \gamma [Fe/H]$$





Source: Abigail Malate

- $> ~ 3 13 M_{\odot}$
- Located in the classical instability
- $\blacktriangleright$  Pulsations are excited by the  $\kappa$   $\gamma$
- ▶ In 1912, Henrietta Leavitt discove with longer periods had brighter m

 $M = \alpha \log P + \delta + \gamma [r \epsilon/m]$ 

Leavitt & Pickering (1912, Harv. Obs. Circ., 173)

Source: Abigail Malate



## MESA + RSP

#### **Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics**

- Mixing-length parameter  $\alpha_{mlt} = 1.939$ .
- **Predictive mixing scheme** to determine the convective boundaries (Paxton et al. 2018).
- Exponential core and envelope overshooting with parameters  $f_{core} = 0.015$  and  $f_{env} = 0.024$ .
- RBG mass-loss using Reimers (1975) prescription, with  $\eta_R = 0.3$ .

#### **Radial Stellar Pulsations**

- Model large-amplitude, self-excited, nonlinear pulsations that stars develop when they cross instability domains in the HR diagram.
- From given stellar parameters (*M*, *L*, *T<sub>eff</sub>*, *X*, and *Z*),
   RSP can obtain periods, and growth rates.

https://docs.mesastar.org/

#### OGLE-LMC-CEP-1347

- **Double-lined binary (SB2) system** from the sample of **41** SB2 candidates suitable for dynamical mass measurements of Pilecki et al. (2021).
- CEP-1347 is a double-mode Cepheid with a first-overtone (10) period of  $P_1 =$ **0.690 day** and second-overtone (20) period of  $P_2 = 0.556$  day (Soszyński et al. 2017).



Pilecki B., Thompson, I. B., Espinoza-Arancibia, F. et al. 2022, ApJL, 940, L48

#### OGLE-LMC-CEP-1347

The orbital period ( $P_{orb} = 59 d$ ) of the system is **five times shorter than the shortest** known to date for a binary Cepheid



Pilecki B., Thompson, I. B., Espinoza-Arancibia, F. et al. 2022, ApJL, 940, L48

#### OGLE-LMC-CEP-1347

**Companion:** at least two times fainter, redder and less massive than the Cepheid. Thus at the subgiant or more advanced evolutionary stage.

<u>Cepheid</u>: has to be a product of a merger of two less massive stars, to match the characteristics of the system



**Figure 4.** Example evolutionary tracks for stars with masses expected for components of OGLE-LMC-CEP-1347 assuming single star evolution. The position of the Cepheid with a 1*O* period of 0.690 day and the corresponding instability strip are shown. The hypothetical position of the companion with the same age as the Cepheid clearly contradicts the observational data (it should be cooler than the Cepheid).

Pilecki B., Thompson, I. B., Espinoza-Arancibia, F. et al. 2022, ApJL, 940, L48

# Determining precise physical parameters of binary Cepheids

#### **Pulsation + Evolutionary models**

Mass ratio (q) + Distance (+ photometry)

## q-PED method

1<sup>st</sup> step: Calculate evolutionary models considering the LMC metallicity

MESA

Period-luminosity relations from Breuval et al. (2022)

 $q = m_2/m_1 \approx 0.553$ 

(Pilecki et al. 2022)



1<sup>st</sup> step: Calculate evolutionary models considering the LMC metallicity

2<sup>nd</sup> step: Calculate pulsational models of the Cepheid





1<sup>st</sup> step: Calculate evolutionary models considering the LMC metallicity

2<sup>nd</sup> step: Calculate pulsational models of the Cepheid

3<sup>rd</sup> step: Use the multi-band method to constrain our solution based on the known distance to the LMC

Multi-band method (Gieren et al. 2005)



**Result: estimated distance and reddening** 

Multi-band method (Gieren et al. 2005)



#### Multi-band method (Gieren et al. 2005)

Fit:

$$(m-M)_0 = (m-M)_{\lambda} - E_{B-V}R_{\lambda}$$

Example:



We limited our results to:

 $\mu_0 = 18.487 \pm 0.04$ (Pietrzyński et al. 2019)

and positive reddening

Binary and Multiple Stars in the Era of Big Sky Surveys, Litomyšl, 2024

### **Results: HRD**



# Results: Precise parameters of the system

Parameter	Cepheid	Companion	Unit
Mass	$3.42 \pm 0.08$	$1.87 \pm 0.04$	$M_{\odot}$
Radius	$13.62\pm0.12$	$12.26\pm0.41$	$R_{\odot}$
Log g	$2.707 \pm 0.003$	$2.534 \pm 0.032$	cgs
Temperature	6518 ± 99	$4974\pm78$	K
Log L	$2.48 \pm 0.03$	$1.92\pm0.03$	$L_{\odot}$
Age	$0.23\pm0.01$	$1.11 \pm 0.09$	Gyr
E(V-I)	$0.11 \pm 0.03$		mag

# Results: Precise parameters of the system

Parameter	Cepheid	Companion	Unit
Mass	$3.42 \pm 0.08$	$1.87 \pm 0.04$	$M_{\odot}$
Radius	$13.62 \pm 0.12$	$12.26 \pm 0.41$	$R_{\odot}$
Log g	$2.707 \pm 0.003$	$2.534 \pm 0.032$	cgs
Temperature	6518 ± 99	$4974\pm78$	K
Log L	2.48 ± 0.03	1.92 ± 0.03	$L_{\odot}$
Age	$0.23 \pm 0.01$	$1.11 \pm 0.09$	Gyr
E(V-I)	$0.11 \pm 0.03$		mag

## Results: Possible evolutionary phase



#### **Results: Future evolution**



## Calibration of q-PED method



## Calibration of q-PED method

#### Results for CEP-1812

	Cepheid		Companion		
Parameter	This work	Pilecki et al. (2018)	This work	Pilecki et al. (2018)	Unit
Mass	$3.76\pm0.08$	$3.76\pm0.03$	$2.63\pm0.05$	$2.62\pm0.02$	${\rm M}_{\odot}$
Radius	$17.25\pm0.14$	$17.85\pm0.13$	$12.12\pm0.6$	$11.83\pm0.08$	$ m R_{\odot}$
$\log g$	$2.546 \pm 0.005$	$2.509 \pm 0.007$	$2.692 \pm 0.043$	$2.709 \pm 0.007$	$\mathbf{cgs}$
Temperature	$6278 \pm 79$	$6120 \pm 150$	$5246\pm85$	$5170 \pm 120$	Κ
$\log L$	$2.61\pm0.03$	$2.61\pm0.04$	$2.00\pm0.04$	$1.95\pm0.04$	$\mathrm{L}_{\odot}$
Age	$0.180 \pm 0.007$	0.190	$0.484 \pm 0.043$	0.369	$\mathbf{Gyr}$

Radius is 3.5% shorter

Binary and Multiple Stars in the Era of Big Sky Surveys, Litomyšl, 2024

## Conclusions

➤ We determined precise parameters of the components of OGLE-LMC-CEP-1347.

## The Cepheid mass $(3.42 \pm 0.08 M_{\odot})$ is lower than any other precisely determined Cepheid mass before.

> We confirmed the Cepheid merger-origin scenario.

The actual Cepheid age is 1.1 Gyr

Significant fraction of Cepheids may be older than they appear!

➢ Our method was able to closely reproduced the physical parameters of OGLE-LMC-CEP-1812.

## Conclusions

> We determined precise parameters of the components of OGLE-LMC-CEP-1347.

The Cepheid mass (3.42 ± 0.08 M, Study of a precisely determined mass before Study (200) > We confirmed the Contract the future sample (200) The actual Cepheid a much larger sample of a older than they appear.

Significant fraction of Cepheids may be

any other

 $\succ$  Our method was able to closely reproduced the physical parameters of OGLE-LMC-CEP-1812.





## Thanks!



